

APPLICATION TRANSFER REQUEST FOR S.N. 10024962

Section I. TRANSFER REQUEST BY

Name TUGBANG, ANTHONY D Date 2/24/20

TO: Art Unit 2652 Class/sub 360/_____

FROM: A.U. 2652 Class 36

REASON:

Method claims 17-25 do not belong in Class 29 because there are no diverse steps of assembly recited. Method claims are directed to lapping, which appears to be proper for Class 451.

Gatekeeper concurrence _____

Section IIa. DISPOSITION BY RECEIVING TC

By:  A.U. 2652 Date 2/26/04

NOT ACCEPTED Forward to receiving TC Post Classifier

REASON: Should be transferred to class 451

Section IIb. DISPOSITION BY RECEIVING TC POST CLASSIFIER

This dispute was resolved.

Forward to TC/AU _____ Class/Sub _____ / _____ Post Classifier _____ Date _____

Concurring _____

This dispute was not resolved, forward to DISPUTE RESOLUTION PANEL

Post Classifier Assessment:

Gatekeeper concurrence _____

Post Classifier _____ Date _____

Section III. DISPOSITION BY DISPUTE RESOLUTION PANEL

Date _____

Panel Decision:

Forward To Technology Center/Art Unit _____ Class/sub _____ / _____

REASON:

Panel Member _____

Concurring Panel Member _____

Form PTO-447A
(Rev. 7-98)

Staple to face of Application

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
PATENT & TRADEMARK OFFICE**APPLICATION TRANSFER REQUEST FOR S.N. 10024962****Section I. TRANSFER REQUEST BY**

Name MILLER, BRIAN E Date 2/26/2001

TO: Art Unit 3723 Class/sub 451/ _____

FROM: A.U. 2652 Class 36

REASON:

Method claims drawn to lapping which appears to be proper for class 451

Gatekeeper concurrence _____

Section IIa. DISPOSITION BY RECEIVING TC

By: _____ A.U. _____ Date _____

NOT ACCEPTED Forward to receiving TC Post Classifier**REASON:****Section IIb. DISPOSITION BY RECEIVING TC POST CLASSIFIER** This dispute was resolved.

Forward to TC/AU _____ Class/Sub _____ / _____ Post Classifier _____ Date _____

Concurring _____

 This dispute was not resolved, forward to DISPUTE RESOLUTION PANEL**Post Classifier Assessment:**

Gatekeeper concurrence _____

Post Classifier _____ Date _____

Section III. DISPOSITION BY DISPUTE RESOLUTION PANEL

Date _____

Panel Decision:

Forward To Technology Center/Art Unit _____ Class/sub _____ / _____

REASON:

Panel Member _____

Concurring Panel Member _____